

**PROPOSAL EVALUATION**  
***IRWM Grant Program – Planning Grant, Round 1, FY 2010-2011***

---

<b>Applicant</b>	The River Exchange	<b>County</b>	Siskiyou, Shasta
<b>Project Title</b>	Regional Planning Grant for the Development of an IRWM Plan for the Upper Sacramento-McCloud-Lower Pit Region (Upper Sac)	<b>Grant Request</b>	\$592,470
		<b>Total Project Cost</b>	\$789,960

**Project Description** The Upper Sac region is an economically depressed region and its entirety qualifies as a DAC. The development of an IRWM Plan for the region will integrate existing efforts and assist the DAC communities with identification of and application to funding sources to assist with the costs of repairing aging water supply and treatment infrastructure as well as to work collaboratively on the issues that impact all agencies, including water supply reliability, water quality, environmental stewardship and flood management. The development of an IRWM Plan for the will meet the statewide priority of ensuring equitable distribution of benefits by increasing the participation of small and disadvantaged communities in the IRWM process.

**Evaluation Summary**

<b>Scoring Criterion</b>	<b>Score</b>
Work Plan	15
DAC Involvement	10
Schedule	10
Budget	6
Program Preferences	4
Geographic Balance	0
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>45</b>

- **Work Plan** The work plan includes detailed information which collectively implements the proposed work effort. Work items include appropriate task submittals. Work plan consist of background information on the applicant's IRWM planning efforts to date, including the status of development of the IRWM plan. Background of regional issues and work to date is thorough and well presented.
- **DAC Involvement** Proposal demonstrates collaboration and involvement with DAC. Proposal provides supportive and thorough documentation.
- **Schedule** The schedule is detail and consistent with the work plan and the budget. Schedule includes time frame and task description and is reasonable. Schedule includes the milestones including the quarterly reports and final project report.
- **Budget** The budget agrees the work plan and the schedule. The detailed costs for each task are reasonable; however, the budget is not supported by thorough documentation. Hour allocations for several tasks are combined under Project Management. This makes it difficult to identify the level of efforts for each individual task.
- **Program Preference** The work plan provides a table to reference where the 14 claimed Program Preferences are addressed; however, only four (include regional projects or programs, effectively integrate water management with land use planning, climate change response actions and improve tribal water and natural resources) Program Preferences are sufficiently discussed in the work plan. The work plan does not convey certainty that the remaining 10 claimed Program Preferences will be implemented in an adequate fashion.
- **Geographic Balance** Not Applicable